

---

# Evaluation of Therapeutic Equivalence Guidance for Industry

## ***DRAFT GUIDANCE***

**This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.**

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Susan Levine 240-402-7936.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**July 2022  
Generics**

---

*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

# Evaluation of Therapeutic Equivalence Guidance for Industry

*Additional copies are available from:*

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research  
Food and Drug Administration*

*10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353*

*Email: [druginfo@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:druginfo@fda.hhs.gov)*

*<https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**July 2022  
Generics**

---

***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>THERAPEUTIC EQUIVALENCE EVALUATIONS .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>The Fundamentals of Therapeutic Equivalence .....</b>	<b>2</b>
1.	<i>Pharmaceutical Equivalence .....</i>	<i>2</i>
2.	<i>Bioequivalence.....</i>	<i>3</i>
3.	<i>Same Clinical Effect and Safety Profile .....</i>	<i>3</i>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Products Evaluated for Therapeutic Equivalence .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.	<i>Drug Products Approved Under Section 505(c) of the FD&amp;C Act .....</i>	<i>4</i>
2.	<i>Drug Products Approved Under Section 505(j) of the FD&amp;C Act.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<b>C.</b>	<b>The Therapeutic Equivalence Coding System.....</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	<i>A Codes .....</i>	<i>7</i>
2.	<i>B Codes .....</i>	<i>7</i>
3.	<i>Three-Character Codes .....</i>	<i>8</i>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Revisions to Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS.....</b>	<b>9</b>

---

*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

**Evaluation of Therapeutic Equivalence  
Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup>**

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This guidance explains FDA’s therapeutic equivalence evaluations, including the assignment of therapeutic equivalence codes (or TE codes). As defined in 21 CFR 314.3(b), *therapeutic equivalents* are

approved drug products that FDA has determined are pharmaceutical equivalents for which bioequivalence has been demonstrated, and that can be expected to have the same clinical effect and safety profile when administered to patients under the conditions specified in the labeling.

FDA’s therapeutic equivalence evaluations are listed for multisource<sup>2</sup> prescription drug products approved under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 355) in the active section of the *Approved Drug Products With Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* (commonly known as the Orange Book)<sup>3</sup>. As FDA explained when it first proposed to make available a list of all approved drug products, together with therapeutic evaluations of listed products that are available from more than one manufacturer, therapeutic equivalence evaluations have been prepared to serve as public information and advice to state health agencies, prescribers, and pharmacists to promote public education in the area of drug product selection and to foster containment of health care costs.<sup>4</sup> For example, the Orange Book can assist in the establishment of formularies that States and other entities may use in determining when drug products may be substituted for one another. If lower-cost, therapeutically equivalent drug products are available, American consumers are more likely to receive savings on these

<sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Generic Drugs in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of therapeutic equivalence evaluations, “multisource” drug products are, in most instances, pharmaceutical equivalence available from more than one manufacturer. In contrast, “single-source” drug products are those products for which there is only one approved product available for that active ingredient, dosage form, route of administration, and strength. See Orange Book Preface (42<sup>nd</sup> edition 2022) at xii.

<sup>3</sup>The electronic version of the Orange Book can be found at <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/informationondrugs/ucm129662.htm>.

<sup>4</sup> See, e.g., 44 FR 2932 (January 12, 1979) and 45 FR 72582 (October 31, 1980).

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

35 products.<sup>5</sup> Therapeutic equivalence evaluations are a scientific judgment based upon evidence,  
36 while generic substitution may involve social and economic policy administered by the states,  
37 e.g., reducing the cost of drugs to consumers. These evaluations do not constitute determinations  
38 that any product is in violation of the FD&C Act or that any product is preferable to any other.

39  
40 The contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind  
41 the public in any way, unless specifically incorporated into a contract. This document is intended  
42 only to provide clarity to the public regarding existing requirements under the law. FDA  
43 guidance documents, including this guidance, should be viewed only as recommendations, unless  
44 specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in FDA  
45 guidance means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

46

## **II. THERAPEUTIC EQUIVALENCE EVALUATIONS**

48

### **A. The Fundamentals of Therapeutic Equivalence**

49

50  
51 The scientific and regulatory foundation for the evaluation of therapeutic equivalence of  
52 prescription drug products involves:

- 53 • Pharmaceutical equivalence,
- 54 • Bioequivalence, and
- 55 • Same clinical effect and safety profile for the conditions of use specified in the labeling.<sup>6</sup>

56

57 Therapeutic equivalence can be evaluated only for products that are (or will become upon  
58 approval) multisource prescription drug products.<sup>7</sup> FDA approval includes, among other things, a  
59 determination that the drug product is adequately labeled, and that the methods used in, and the  
60 facilities and controls used for, the manufacture, processing, and packaging of a drug product are  
61 adequate to preserve its identity, strength, quality, and purity.<sup>8</sup>

62

63 FDA believes products classified as therapeutically equivalent can be substituted with the full  
64 expectation that the substituted product will produce the same clinical effect and safety profile as  
65 the prescribed product when administered to patients under the conditions specified in the  
66 labeling.

67

#### *1. Pharmaceutical Equivalence*

69

70 To be therapeutically equivalent, drug products must be pharmaceutically equivalent.<sup>9</sup> As  
71 defined in 21 CFR 314.3(b), *pharmaceutical equivalents* are drug products:

---

<sup>5</sup> Id.

<sup>6</sup> See 21 CFR 314.3(b).

<sup>7</sup> See id; see also Orange Book Preface (42<sup>nd</sup> edition 2022) at vii and xii. Prescription drug products are considered multisource when pharmaceutical equivalents are available (i.e., are not on the Discontinued Drug Product list in the Orange Book) from more than one manufacturer.

<sup>8</sup> See 21 CFR 314.127(a).

<sup>9</sup> 21 CFR 314.3(b).

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

- 72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86
- in identical dosage form and route(s) of administration;
  - Contain identical amounts of the identical active drug ingredient, i.e., the same salt or ester of the same therapeutic moiety, or, in the case of modified-release dosage forms that require a reservoir or overage or such forms as prefilled syringes where residual volume may vary, that deliver identical amounts of the active drug ingredient over the identical dosing period;
  - Do not necessarily contain the same inactive ingredients; and
  - Meet the identical compendial or other applicable standard of identity, strength, quality, and purity, including potency and, where applicable, content uniformity, disintegration times, and/or dissolution rates.<sup>10</sup>

### 2. *Bioequivalence*

87  
88  
89 To be therapeutically equivalent, drug products must also be bioequivalent.<sup>11</sup> *Bioequivalence* is,  
90 in pertinent part:

91  
92 the absence of a significant difference in the rate and extent to which the active ingredient  
93 or active moiety in pharmaceutical equivalents or pharmaceutical alternatives becomes  
94 available at the site of drug action when administered at the same molar dose under  
95 similar conditions in an appropriately designed study.<sup>12</sup>  
96

97 For drug products that are not intended to be absorbed into the bloodstream, applicants may  
98 assess bioequivalence by conducting scientifically valid measurements that are intended to  
99 reflect the rate and extent to which the active ingredient or active moiety becomes available at  
100 the site of action.<sup>13</sup> FDA has promulgated regulations regarding demonstrating bioequivalence,<sup>14</sup>  
101 and the Agency routinely publishes guidances for industry and product-specific guidances to  
102 assist applicants and sponsors in demonstrating bioequivalence.<sup>15</sup>  
103

### 3. *Same Clinical Effect and Safety Profile*

104  
105

---

<sup>10</sup> 21 CFR 314.3(b) (bullets added).

<sup>11</sup> Id.

<sup>12</sup> Id.

<sup>13</sup> Id.

<sup>14</sup> See, e.g., 21 CFR 314.94(a)(7) and 21 CFR part 320.

<sup>15</sup> For example, as an initial step for selecting a methodology for generic drug development, applicants may refer to the draft guidance for industry *Bioequivalence Studies With Pharmacokinetic Endpoints for Drugs Submitted Under an ANDA* (August 2021). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>. Product-specific guidances are available at <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidances-drugs/product-specific-guidances-generic-drug-development>.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

106 Therapeutic equivalents are expected to have the same clinical effect and safety profile when  
107 administered to patients under the conditions of use specified in the labeling.<sup>16</sup> Labeling plays a  
108 critical role in the therapeutic equivalence evaluation. FDA evaluates the labeling to determine  
109 whether the drug products have the same clinical effect and safety profile under the conditions of  
110 use that the labeling specifies. As a result, pharmaceutically equivalent products with  
111 differences in labeling may not be considered therapeutically equivalent to one another.

112  
113 The evaluation of whether drug products have the same clinical effect and safety profile is  
114 product-specific. For example, whether a proposed generic drug-device combination product  
115 with a user interface that contains differences from that for the RLD<sup>17</sup> can be substituted with the  
116 full expectation that the generic combination product will produce the same clinical effect and  
117 safety profile as the RLD under the conditions specified in the labeling is a product specific  
118 determination, and additional information and/or data relating to the user interface may be  
119 appropriate to support approval and to perform this evaluation.

### **B. Products Evaluated for Therapeutic Equivalence**

122  
123 FDA only evaluates certain drug products approved under section 505 of the FD&C Act for  
124 therapeutic equivalence. Section 505 establishes the following approval pathways for drug  
125 products: “stand-alone” new drug applications (NDAs); 505(b)(2) applications; and abbreviated  
126 new drug applications (ANDAs), which include petitioned ANDAs.<sup>18</sup>

#### *1. Drug Products Approved Under Section 505(c) of the FD&C Act*

129  
130 A “stand-alone NDA” is an application submitted under section 505(b)(1) and approved under  
131 section 505(c) of the FD&C Act that contains full reports of investigations of safety and  
132 effectiveness that were conducted by or for the applicant or for which the applicant has a right of  
133 reference or use.

134  
135 A 505(b)(2) application is an NDA submitted under section 505(b)(1) and approved under  
136 section 505(c) of the FD&C Act that contains full reports of investigations of safety and  
137 effectiveness, where at least some of the information required for approval comes from studies  
138 not conducted by or for the applicant and for which the applicant has not obtained a right of  
139 reference or use (e.g., the Agency’s finding of safety and/or effectiveness for a listed drug,

---

<sup>16</sup> 21 CFR 314.3(b).

<sup>17</sup> The *RLD* “is the listed drug identified by FDA as the drug product upon which an applicant relies in seeking approval of its ANDA.” 21 CFR 314.3(b).

<sup>18</sup> Prescription drug products that have been approved by FDA are generally listed in the Orange Book. See section 505(j)(7)(A) and (B) of the FD&C Act; 21 CFR 314.3(b). The Orange Book includes drug products whose applications became effective before the 1962 Amendments to the FD&C Act (and were deemed approved upon enactment of the 1962 amendments) on the basis of a demonstration of safety, where the effectiveness for their intended use was determined through the Drug Efficacy Study Implementation (DESI) process. Drugs marketed prior to the enactment of the Federal Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act of 1938 were not subject to premarket approval procedures and are excluded from the Orange Book.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

140 published literature).<sup>19</sup> FDA generally will refuse to file a 505(b)(2) application for a drug that is  
141 a duplicate<sup>20</sup> of a listed drug and eligible for approval under section 505(j) of the FD&C Act.<sup>21</sup>

142  
143 FDA generally does not conduct therapeutic equivalence evaluations upon approval of drug  
144 products in stand-alone NDAs. In most cases, a stand-alone NDA drug product would not be  
145 pharmaceutically equivalent—and thus not therapeutically equivalent—to another approved  
146 stand-alone NDA drug product. Drug products approved in stand-alone NDAs are generally  
147 designated as reference listed drugs upon which prospective generic drug applicants can rely in  
148 developing their ANDA drug products.

149  
150 FDA does not routinely conduct therapeutic equivalence evaluations for every product approved  
151 in a 505(b)(2) application. A person seeking to have a therapeutic equivalence rating for a drug  
152 product approved in a 505(b)(2) application may petition the Agency through the citizen petition  
153 procedure (see 21 CFR 10.25(a) and 21 CFR 10.30).<sup>22</sup> When therapeutic equivalence is  
154 evaluated, the differences between a product approved in a 505(b)(2) application and another  
155 listed drug may preclude a finding that the products are therapeutically equivalent. These  
156 differences may include, for example, a different active ingredient or a new indication, dosage  
157 form, strength, or route of administration, or certain formulation differences.<sup>23</sup> See question and  
158 answer 3, 4, and 5 for more information specifically on 505(b)(2) applications and TE codes,  
159 including information on requesting a therapeutic equivalence evaluation for a drug product that  
160 is the subject of an approved or pending 505(b)(2) application. Like those in stand-alone NDAs,  
161 drug products approved in 505(b)(2) applications are generally designated as reference listed  
162 drugs upon which prospective generic drug applicants can rely in developing their ANDA drug  
163 products.

### *2 Drug Products Approved Under Section 505(j) of the FD&C Act*

164  
165  
166  
167 An ANDA<sup>24</sup> generally is an application submitted and approved under section 505(j) of the  
168 FD&C Act for a drug product that is a duplicate of a previously approved drug product, the

---

<sup>19</sup> For more information on 505(b)(2) applications, see the guidance for industry *Determining Whether to Submit an ANDA or 505(b)(2) Application* (May 2019) and the draft guidance for industry *Applications Covered by Section 505(b)(2)* (October 1999). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

<sup>20</sup> The term *duplicate* generally refers to a “drug product that has the same active ingredient(s), dosage form, strength, route of administration, and conditions of use as a listed drug.” See 54 FR 28872 at 28877 (July 10, 1989). However, the term *duplicate*, as used in this context, does not mean identical in all aspects to the listed drug.

<sup>21</sup> 21 CFR 314.101(d)(9) (noting that FDA may refuse to file an NDA if the “NDA is submitted as a 505(b)(2) application for a drug that is a duplicate of a listed drug and is eligible for approval under section 505(j) of the [FD&C] Act”).

<sup>22</sup> See Orange Book Preface (42<sup>nd</sup> edition 2022) at xxiv.

<sup>23</sup> For examples of applications that may be submitted under section 505(b)(2) of the FD&C Act see the draft guidance for industry *Applications Covered by Section 505(b)(2)* (December 1999). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

<sup>24</sup> For purposes of this guidance, the terms “generic”, “abbreviated new drug application”, and “ANDA” refer to products submitted and approved under section 505(j) of the FD&C Act. The Orange Book also refers to certain products approved under pre-Hatch-Waxman abbreviated applications (PANDAs) as ANDAs. In general, the

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

169 RLD. An ANDA generally must contain information to show that the proposed generic product  
170 (1) is the same as the RLD with respect to the active ingredient(s), conditions of use, route of  
171 administration, dosage form, strength, and labeling (with certain permissible differences) and (2)  
172 is bioequivalent to the RLD. If the statutory requirements are met, an ANDA may rely on FDA’s  
173 finding that the previously approved drug product, the RLD, is safe and effective.  
174

175 A “petitioned” ANDA is a type of ANDA for a drug product that differs from the RLD in its  
176 dosage form, route of administration, strength, or active ingredient (in a product with more than  
177 one active ingredient) and for which FDA has determined, in response to a petition submitted  
178 under section 505(j)(2)(C) of the FD&C Act (suitability petition), that studies are not necessary  
179 to establish the safety and effectiveness of the proposed drug product. For approval, the drug  
180 product approved in a petitioned ANDA may rely on the finding of safety and effectiveness for  
181 the RLD that was the basis of the suitability petition, but would not be therapeutically equivalent  
182 to its RLD because the differences permissible in a petitioned ANDA would render the product  
183 not pharmaceutically equivalent to the RLD.  
184

185 In general, with the exception of a drug product approved in a petitioned ANDA, when FDA  
186 approves a drug product under an ANDA it is therapeutically equivalent to its RLD because the  
187 requirements for ANDA approval include the data and information that establish therapeutic  
188 equivalence. Accordingly, an ANDA applicant does not need to request a therapeutic  
189 equivalence evaluation from the Agency. In contrast to FDA’s general practice to designate  
190 stand-alone NDAs and 505(b)(2) applications as reference listed drugs upon approval, FDA’s  
191 general practice has not been to designate ANDAs as reference listed drugs upon approval  
192 because ANDAs do not contain independent findings of safety and effectiveness upon which  
193 other ANDAs can rely.<sup>25</sup>  
194

### **C. The Therapeutic Equivalence Coding System<sup>26</sup>**

195  
196  
197 FDA lists its therapeutic equivalence evaluations in the Orange Book using a system of multi-  
198 letter codes assigned to multi-source drug products. The coding system is designed to allow users  
199 to determine quickly whether the Agency has evaluated a particular approved drug product as  
200 therapeutically equivalent to another approved pharmaceutically equivalent drug product.  
201 Generally, the first letter of the code indicates whether the Agency has determined that a  
202 particular approved drug product is therapeutically equivalent to another drug product.  
203 The coding system also uses additional specific letters to provide further information based on  
204 FDA’s evaluations.

---

discussion of ANDAs and generics in this document do not include such products. For more information on PANDAs, see Notice: Drug Products Approved in Abbreviated New Drug Applications Before the Enactment of the Hatch-Waxman Amendments; Establishment of a Public Docket; Request for Comments (referred to as PANDA Notice), Docket No. FDA-2020-N-1245, available at: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2021-08-13/pdf/2021-17378.pdf>, and Pre-Hatch-Waxman Abbreviated New Drug Applications in the Orange Book, available at: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2021-08-13/pdf/2021-17378.pdf>.

<sup>25</sup> We note that PANDAs have been designated as RLDs even though they are described in the Orange Book as ANDAs (see PANDA Notice).

<sup>26</sup> The Preface to the Orange Book explains therapeutic equivalence codes in greater detail. See the Orange Book Preface (42<sup>nd</sup> edition 2022) discussion beginning at p. xii.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241

### *1. A Codes*

Drug products are assigned an *A* as the first letter of their therapeutic equivalence code if FDA considers them to be therapeutically equivalent to other pharmaceutically equivalent products. Drug products considered to be therapeutically equivalent are grouped together in the Orange Book.

For products that are therapeutically equivalent (i.e., those codes in which an *A* is the first letter), the second letter in the code identifies that either:

- (1) actual or potential bioequivalence problems have been resolved with adequate evidence, or
- (2) there are no known or suspected bioequivalence problems.

In the former case, for pharmaceutically equivalent products that have raised questions of bioequivalence and for which in vivo and/or in vitro methods were used to establish bioequivalence, FDA assigns them an *AB* code. In the latter case (when there are no known or suspected bioequivalence problems), the second letter in the therapeutic equivalence code (i.e., the *A*, *N*, *O*, *P*, or *T* in *AA*, *AN*, *AO*, *AP*, or *AT*) identifies the dosage form.<sup>27</sup> For active ingredients or dosage forms for which no in vivo bioequivalence issue is known or suspected, the information necessary to show bioequivalence (between pharmaceutically equivalent products) is either presumed and considered self-evident (based on other information in the application for some dosage forms (e.g., solutions)),<sup>28</sup> or satisfied by a showing that an acceptable in vitro approach is met.

### *2. B Codes*

Drug products are assigned a *B* as the first letter of their therapeutic equivalence code if, at this time, actual or potential bioequivalence problems have not been resolved with adequate evidence of bioequivalence. Until actual or potential bioequivalence questions are resolved, FDA considers such products not to be therapeutically equivalent to other pharmaceutically equivalent products.

For such products, the second letter in the therapeutic equivalence code (i.e., the *C*, *D*, *E*, *N*, *P*, *R*, *S*, *T*, *X*, and *B\** in *BC*, *BD*, *BE*, *BN*, *BP*, *BR*, *BS*, *BT*, *BX*, or *BB\**) either identifies the dosage form or provides further general information regarding why the product is not considered to be

---

<sup>27</sup> At a high level, the *A* codes, other than *AA*, indicate the following things. A solution or powder for aerosolization that is therapeutically equivalent to another such approved product and for which there are no known or suspected bioequivalence problems would be coded *AN*. *AA* identifies products in conventional dosage forms, e.g., tablets or capsules, not presenting bioequivalence problems. All oral dosage forms nonetheless must meet an appropriate bioequivalence standard for approval. *AO* identifies injectable oil solutions. *AP* identifies injectable aqueous solutions and, in certain instances, intravenous non-aqueous solutions. *AT* identifies topical products. See the Orange Book Preface (42<sup>nd</sup> edition 2022) discussion beginning at p. xiii.

<sup>28</sup> See 21 CFR 320.22 for a discussion on when bioequivalence may be self-evident.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

242 therapeutically equivalent.<sup>29</sup> In its description of the *B* codes, the Orange Book describes  
243 circumstances under which FDA may find that a drug product is not therapeutically equivalent to  
244 another approved pharmaceutically equivalent drug product.<sup>30</sup>

### ***3. Three-Character Codes***

246  
247  
248 In some instances, a number is added to certain codes to make a three-character code. Three-  
249 character codes generally are assigned only in situations in which more than one RLD of the  
250 same strength has been designated under the same product heading (i.e., same active  
251 ingredient(s), dosage form, route(s) of administration, and strength) in the Orange Book. For  
252 example, for the listing for Diltiazem Hydrochloride Capsule, Extended Release, multiple RLDs  
253 are designated, including Tiazac (NDA 020401 for 120, 180, 240, 300, 360, and 420 milligrams  
254 (mg)), Cardizem CD (NDA 020062 for 120, 180, 240, 300, and 360 mg), and Dilacor XR (NDA  
255 020092 for 120, 180, and 240 mg). ANDAs that reference Tiazac have the *AB4* rating, ANDAs  
256 that reference Cardizem CD have the *AB3* rating, and ANDAs that referenced Dilacor XR have  
257 the *AB2* rating.

### **D. Revisions to Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations**

258  
259  
260  
261 FDA may revise its therapeutic equivalence evaluation for a particular drug product if, based on  
262 data or information FDA receives or becomes aware of, FDA determines that such a revision is  
263 warranted. FDA may revise either the first or second letter of the therapeutic equivalence code.  
264 The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples:

- 265 • FDA will revise a therapeutic equivalence code if it decides that another therapeutic  
266 equivalence code would be more accurate than the current one.
- 267 • FDA will remove any associated therapeutic equivalence code for a drug product that is  
268 moved from the Active section to the Discontinued Drug Product List section of the  
269 Orange Book.
- 270 • FDA will remove the therapeutic equivalence code for a drug product listed in the Active  
271 section of the Orange Book if that drug product becomes a single-source product.

272  
273  
274 FDA also may change a drug product's therapeutic equivalence code from an *A*-rating to a *B*-  
275 rating if FDA becomes aware of information that raises questions about the data and information  
276 that the Agency relied on in approving that product. For example, if FDA discovers significant  
277 issues at a facility where a drug product was used in testing to support its approval and those

---

<sup>29</sup> At a high level, the *B* codes indicate the following things. *BC* identifies extended-release dosage forms (e.g., capsules, injectables, and tablets). *BE* identifies delayed-release oral dosage forms. *BN* identifies products in aerosol-nebulizer drug delivery systems. *BR* identifies suppositories or enemas that deliver drugs for systemic absorption. *BT* identifies topical products with bioequivalence issues. *BD* indicates active ingredients and dosage forms with documented bioequivalence problems. *BS* indicates that products have drug standard deficiencies. *BP* indicates active ingredients and dosage forms with potential bioequivalence problems. *B\** indicates that a drug product requires further FDA investigation and review to determine therapeutic equivalence, and *BX* indicates that the data available to FDA are insufficient to determine therapeutic equivalence. See the Orange Book Preface (42<sup>nd</sup> edition 2022) discussion at pp. xviii-xx.

<sup>30</sup> See the Orange Book Preface (42<sup>nd</sup> edition 2022) discussion beginning at p. xviii.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

278 issues are relevant to the underlying therapeutic equivalence evaluation, FDA may change an *AB*  
279 therapeutic equivalence code to a *BX* code until the related facility issues and questions about  
280 their impact on the application are resolved.

281

### **III. FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS**

283

#### **1. When are therapeutic equivalence codes for ANDAs listed in the Orange Book?**

285

286 Because the approval standards for a drug product in an ANDA (other than a drug product in a  
287 petitioned ANDA) require in general, among other things, a demonstration that the proposed  
288 drug product has the same dosage form, route of administration, strength, and active ingredient  
289 as its RLD, is bioequivalent to its RLD, and generally has the same labeling as its RLD, with  
290 limited exceptions,<sup>31</sup> a generic drug is considered therapeutically equivalent to its RLD upon  
291 approval. In general, the therapeutic equivalence code for an approved ANDA will be listed  
292 with the approved ANDA at the time that ANDA is added to the Orange Book,<sup>32</sup> and the ANDA  
293 holder does not need to request a therapeutic equivalence evaluation to its RLD.

294

#### **2. Are there any instances in which an approved ANDA drug product would not have a therapeutic equivalence code?**

296

297  
298 Yes. Generally, FDA assigns a therapeutic equivalence code for an ANDA drug product at the  
299 time of the drug's approval, and this code is included in the listing for that drug product in the  
300 Orange Book. However, there are limited instances in which a drug product approved under  
301 section 505(j) would not have a therapeutic equivalence code. For example:

302

303 • If an RLD is discontinued or withdrawn from sale for reasons other than safety or  
304 effectiveness<sup>33</sup> and a drug product approved under the ANDA that references that  
305 RLD becomes a single-source product, then any assigned therapeutic equivalence  
306 codes for the RLD and the ANDA are removed from the Orange Book; the ANDA  
307 will not have a TE code until it becomes a multisource product, for example through  
308 the approval of a therapeutically equivalent ANDA or 505(b)(2) application.<sup>34</sup>

309

310 • If FDA approves an ANDA based on an approved suitability petition for a change  
311 permissible under section 505(j)(2)(C) of the FD&C Act (including a change in  
312 dosage form, route of administration, and strength), the approved ANDA would not  
313 be pharmaceutically equivalent to its RLD and, therefore, would not be  
314 therapeutically equivalent to the RLD or have a therapeutic equivalence code to the

---

<sup>31</sup> As noted in section II.B.2.c of this guidance, approval of ANDAs under a suitability petition would not constitute a finding of therapeutic equivalence because the ANDA products are not pharmaceutical equivalents to their RLDs.

<sup>32</sup> The Orange Book Staff provides daily updates to the electronic Orange Book for new generic drug approvals.

<sup>33</sup> See 21 CFR 314.161.

<sup>34</sup> If an RLD is discontinued or withdrawn and there are multiple ANDAs that reference that RLD, the remaining ANDAs retain their existing therapeutic equivalence codes. Subsequently approved ANDAs that are pharmaceutical equivalents to the RLD would be assigned the same therapeutic equivalence code.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

315 RLD. If a second ANDA is approved for the petitioned change, that second ANDA  
316 and the first ANDA would be designated as therapeutically equivalent to each other.  
317

318 **3. Are there any instances in which an approved NDA drug product would not have a**  
319 **therapeutic equivalence code?**  
320

321 Yes, there are instances in which an approved NDA drug product listed in the Orange Book  
322 would not have a therapeutic equivalence code. For example, if an NDA drug product does not  
323 have a therapeutic equivalence code, it could indicate that:  
324

- 325 • The drug product is one for which there are no therapeutically equivalent products listed  
326 in the Active Section of the Orange Book.  
327
- 328 • The drug product was approved in a 505(b)(2) application and is not therapeutically  
329 equivalent to the listed drug it references because it is not a pharmaceutical equivalent  
330 (for example, it is for a strength that was not approved for the listed drug).  
331
- 332 • The drug product was approved in a 505(b)(2) application and is pharmaceutically  
333 equivalent to a “stand-alone” NDA, but the 505(b)(2) application holder has not made a  
334 request for, and FDA has not conducted, a therapeutic equivalence evaluation for the  
335 505(b)(2) application.  
336

337 **4. What is an example of a 505(b)(2) application for which a request for an A rating**  
338 **may be granted?**  
339

340 As noted in Section II.B.1, a drug product approved in a 505(b)(2) application may have  
341 differences from other listed drugs which may preclude a finding of therapeutic  
342 equivalence. However, a drug product approved in a 505(b)(2) application that meets the criteria  
343 for therapeutic equivalence as described in 21 CFR 314.3(b) may receive an appropriate  
344 therapeutic equivalence code.  
345

346 For example, FDA may determine that an injectable solution drug product submitted under a  
347 505(b)(2) application is therapeutically equivalent to the listed drug it references if that drug  
348 product is pharmaceutically equivalent and bioequivalent to the listed drug but because of a  
349 difference in excipients from the listed drug<sup>35</sup> it references it could not have been approved in an  
350 ANDA.  
351

352 **5. How do I request therapeutic equivalence evaluation of a drug product submitted in**  
353 **a 505(b)(2) application?**  
354

355 The holder of an approved 505(b)(2) application drug product may request a therapeutic  
356 equivalence evaluation in a citizen petition submitted under 21 CFR 10.25(a) and 10.30. FDA  
357 will evaluate whether a therapeutic equivalence code for a 505(b)(2) application is appropriate,

---

<sup>35</sup> See 21 CFR 314.94(a)(9)(ii) – (v) for a discussion on permissible differences in exception excipients.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

358 after the drug product is approved and FDA has received a therapeutic equivalence code request  
359 from the 505(b)(2) application holder.

360  
361 In many cases, FDA will assess therapeutic equivalence for a 505(b)(2) application utilizing  
362 information supporting the safety, effectiveness, and quality of the drug product that is already  
363 contained in the NDA file. If the applicant for a product submitted in a 505(b)(2) application  
364 intends to request a therapeutic equivalence evaluation upon approval, we recommend that the  
365 applicant contact the regulatory project manager for the division to discuss how the applicant's  
366 presentation of data and information will facilitate a therapeutic equivalence evaluation and/or to  
367 discuss which additional information (if any) may be needed.<sup>36</sup>

368  
369 **6. Does FDA assign a therapeutic equivalence code to tentatively approved<sup>37</sup> drug**  
370 **products?**

371  
372 A drug product that is tentatively approved is not an approved drug product and cannot be  
373 marketed.<sup>38</sup> Accordingly, FDA does not list that drug product in the Orange Book and does not  
374 give it a therapeutic equivalence code.

375  
376 **7. If a drug product is repackaged and distributed by either the applicant or a party**  
377 **other than the applicant, will it be given its own therapeutic equivalence code?**

378  
379 No. In the Orange Book, FDA would not include a separate listing with separate TE code for a  
380 product that has been repackaged and distributed.

381  
382 **8. How do instructions in the labeling regarding reconstitution, dilution, or other**  
383 **manipulation(s) before dispensing or administration affect FDA's determination of**  
384 **dosage form?**

385  
386 The labeling for a drug product may include instructions for reconstitution, dilution, or other  
387 manipulation(s) of the drug product before use. FDA evaluates the dosage form of such a drug  
388 product before such reconstitution, dilution, or other manipulation(s). Thus, for example, a

---

<sup>36</sup> For more information on contacting the appropriate Office of New Drugs review division, see <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/regulatory-science-research-and-education/reorganization-office-new-drugs-corresponding-changes-office-translational-sciences-and-office>.

<sup>37</sup> *Tentative approval* is notification that an NDA or ANDA otherwise meets the requirements for approval under the FD&C Act, but cannot be approved because there is a 7-year period of orphan exclusivity for a listed drug under section 527 of the FD&C Act and 21 CFR 316.31, or that a 505(b)(2) application or ANDA otherwise meets the requirements for approval under the FD&C Act, but cannot be approved until the conditions in 21 CFR 314.107(b)(1)(iii), (b)(3), or (c) are met; because there is a period of exclusivity for the listed drug under 21 CFR 314.108; because there is a period of pediatric exclusivity for the listed drug under section 505A of the FD&C Act; because there is a period of exclusivity for the listed drug under section 505E of the FD&C Act; or because a court order pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 271(e)(4)(A) orders that the NDA or ANDA may be approved no earlier than the date specified. A drug product that is granted tentative approval is not an approved drug and will not be approved until FDA issues an approval letter after any necessary additional review of the NDA or ANDA. 21 CFR 314.3(b).

<sup>38</sup> *Id.* See section 505(j)(5)(B)(iv)(II)(dd)(BB) of the FD&C Act (stating that a “drug that is granted tentative approval by the Secretary is not an approved drug and shall not have an effective approval until the Secretary issues an approval after any necessary additional review of the application”); see also 21 CFR 314.105(d).

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

389 powder for oral solution drug product<sup>39</sup> would have a different dosage form from a ready-to-use  
390 oral solution drug product.<sup>40</sup> As a result, a powder for oral solution drug product and a ready-to-  
391 use oral solution drug product would not be pharmaceutically equivalent and therefore not  
392 therapeutically equivalent to each other.

393  
394 **9. Can a drug product be therapeutically equivalent if it has different packaging from**  
395 **the listed drug it references?**

396  
397 Drug products that vary in packaging may or may not be therapeutically equivalent to each other.  
398 For example, if the packaging difference results in a different clinical effect or safety profile of  
399 one drug product to the other or precludes the two products from being pharmaceutical  
400 equivalents, they will not be considered therapeutically equivalent.

401  
402 **10. Can an ANDA drug product receive an A code if its labeling omits an indication(s)**  
403 **or other condition(s) of use, or other aspect(s) of labeling that is approved for the**  
404 **RLD but protected by patent or by exclusivity?**

405  
406 Yes. An ANDA drug product can be determined to be therapeutically equivalent to its RLD  
407 even if the drug product, due to listed patents or exclusivity for the RLD, is approved for fewer  
408 than all of the indications or other conditions of use for the RLD, or omits, due to listed patents  
409 or exclusivity for the RLD, other aspects of labeling currently approved for the RLD. ANDA  
410 drug products are permitted by statute and FDA’s regulations to omit or “carve out,” for patent  
411 or exclusivity reasons, an indication(s) or other condition(s) of use, or other aspect(s) of labeling  
412 approved for the RLD.<sup>41</sup> In making a therapeutic equivalence determination, FDA evaluates  
413 whether the two drug products are pharmaceutical equivalents for which bioequivalence has been  
414 demonstrated, and if they can be expected to have the same clinical effect and safety profile  
415 when administered to patients under the conditions specified in the labeling.<sup>42</sup>

416  
417 Because the approval standards for a drug product in an ANDA (other than a drug product with a  
418 permissible change in a petitioned ANDA) mean that, among other things, demonstrations of  
419 pharmaceutical equivalence to its RLD, bioequivalence to its RLD, and generally the same  
420 labeling as its RLD (with limited exceptions, including to allow for the omission, for patent or

---

<sup>39</sup> For purposes of this guidance, FDA uses the term “powder for oral solution” drug product to describe a product in a powder dosage form for oral administration with instructions in the labeling for reconstitution as a solution before administration.

<sup>40</sup> For purposes of this guidance, FDA uses the term “ready-to-use oral solution” drug product to describe a drug product in an oral solution dosage form for oral administration that does not include instructions for further manipulation, reconstitution, dilution, etc., before administration.

<sup>41</sup> See section 505(j)(2)(A)(v) and (viii) of the FD&C Act and 21 CFR 314.94(a)(8)(iv); see also 21 CFR 314.127(a)(7).

<sup>42</sup> Prior to approval of an ANDA, FDA will determine the specific language in the labeling of the RLD that describes the protected use and will assess whether an ANDA that omits the protected information from its labeling will be rendered less safe or effective than the RLD for the remaining non-protected conditions of use. 21 CFR 314.127(a)(7). FDA will not approve an ANDA that omits protected information from its labeling if that omission renders the ANDA less safe or effective for the remaining non-protected conditions of use.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

421 exclusivity reasons, of an indication(s) or other condition(s) of use, or other aspect(s) of  
422 labeling), an approved ANDA drug product is considered therapeutically equivalent to its RLD  
423 and will receive an A code at approval, even if its labeling omits certain indications or other  
424 conditions of use, or other aspects of labeling approved for the RLD but protected by patent or  
425 exclusivity.

426  
427 As a hypothetical example, suppose that a drug, Drugex, is approved for three  
428 indications: treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus, treatment of hypertension, and prevention of  
429 heart disease. A method-of-use patent is listed in the Orange Book, and the use code describes  
430 the approved method of use claimed by the patent as “treatment of hypertension.” The Orange  
431 Book also lists a period of three-year exclusivity for Drugex with the exclusivity code of  
432 “prevention of heart disease.” In this case, an ANDA applicant could seek approval of a generic  
433 drug that relies on Drugex as its RLD with labeling that retains the type 2 diabetes mellitus  
434 indication but “carves out” the indications for treatment of hypertension and prevention of heart  
435 disease, which are protected by patent and exclusivity, respectively. If FDA found that the  
436 omissions did not render the ANDA drug product less safe or effective than Drugex for the  
437 remaining, non-protected conditions of use, and the ANDA met all other requirements for  
438 approval, it would be approved. The Orange Book would list an A code for the ANDA drug  
439 product, reflecting that it is therapeutically equivalent to Drugex and thus can be expected to  
440 have the same clinical effect and safety profile as Drugex when administered to patients under  
441 the conditions specified in the ANDA drug product’s labeling.

442

### **11. How do inactive ingredients affect a therapeutic equivalence evaluation?**

444

445 Differences in inactive ingredients between an ANDA and its RLD of the type permissible in  
446 ANDA products, e.g., preservatives, generally do not affect FDA’s evaluation of therapeutic  
447 equivalence for the ANDA product. FDA evaluates the inactive ingredients in a generic product  
448 as part of the ANDA approval process,<sup>43</sup> and, as noted earlier, in general upon approval, an  
449 ANDA product is considered to be therapeutically equivalent to its RLD.

450

451 Therapeutic equivalence evaluations for a product approved through the 505(b)(2) pathway  
452 consider differences in inactive ingredients between that product and the listed drug to which a  
453 TE code is sought. Inactive ingredients that may be in drug products approved through the  
454 505(b)(2) pathway and that may differ from the inactive ingredients in the listed drug to which a  
455 therapeutic equivalence evaluation is sought, may influence the bioequivalence, route of  
456 administration, safety profile, dosage form, or labeled indications of the drug products. Because  
457 505(b)(2) applications are not required to demonstrate pharmaceutical equivalence or  
458 bioequivalence, differences in inactive ingredients may be part of FDA’s therapeutic equivalence  
459 evaluation for 505(b)(2) products.

460

### **12. How is therapeutic equivalence evaluated for drug/device combination products submitted in an ANDA?**

462

463

---

<sup>43</sup> See Section 505(j)(2)(A)(vi) of the FD&C Act (cross-referencing section 505(b)(1)(C)); 21 CFR 314.94(a)(9).

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

464 Therapeutic equivalence evaluations are made between an ANDA and its RLD at the time of  
465 approval, including for ANDAs for drug/device combination products. A generic combination  
466 product classified as therapeutically equivalent to the RLD can be expected to produce the same  
467 clinical effect and safety profile as the RLD under the conditions specified in labeling. This does  
468 not mean, however, that the proposed generic combination product and its RLD need to be  
469 identical in all respects. FDA recognizes that an identical design may not always be feasible and,  
470 in certain instances, differences in the design of the user interface for a generic combination  
471 product as compared to the RLD may exist without precluding approval of the generic  
472 combination product under an ANDA. Any differences in device and labeling identified  
473 between a proposed generic combination product and its RLD should be adequately analyzed,  
474 scientifically justified, and otherwise not preclude approval under an ANDA.<sup>44</sup> The extent to  
475 which differences between the proposed generic combination product and the RLD affect the  
476 approvability of the ANDA product will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.<sup>45</sup> In some  
477 instances in which differences exist, certain additional information and/or data relating to the  
478 user interface of the generic combination product may be appropriate to support approval of the  
479 proposed generic combination product in an ANDA. Such additional information and/or data are  
480 intended to confirm that the differences in device and labeling for the proposed generic  
481 combination product are acceptable and that the proposed generic combination product can be  
482 substituted with the full expectation that the generic combination product will produce the same  
483 clinical effect and safety profile as the RLD under the conditions specified in the labeling.

484

### **13. What are “special situations” in the Orange Book?**

486

487 Section 1.8 of the Orange Book Preface, “Description of Certain Special Situations,” identifies,  
488 among other things, “special situations” where a more comprehensive explanation of equivalence  
489 scenarios beyond the two- or three-character therapeutic equivalence codes in the Orange Book  
490 may aid healthcare professionals and other interested parties.

491

### **14. How does an interested party comment on or contest a therapeutic equivalence evaluation?**

493

494

495 An interested party who wishes to comment on or contest a therapeutic equivalence evaluation  
496 may submit a citizen petition under 21 CFR 10.25(a) and 10.30 or, in general, if a relevant  
497 citizen petition has already been submitted, an interested party may submit a comment to the  
498 docket for that citizen petition.

---

<sup>44</sup> See draft guidance for industry *Comparative Analyses and Related Comparative Use Human Factors Studies for a Drug-Device Combination Product Submitted in an ANDA* (January 2017). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

<sup>45</sup> See the Office of Combination Products guidance for industry and FDA staff *Principles of Premarket Pathways for Combination Products* (January 2022).